RESOLUTION NO. 03-66

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF PASO ROBLES APPROVING THE REVISIONS OF THE FEE SCHEDULE FOR DEVELOPMENT APPLICATIONS AND FRONT YARD LANDSCAPING BONDS (CITY INITIATED)

WHEREAS, in February 1992, the City Council adopted a Fiscal Policy that states that user fees will be developed to insure/support cost recovery; and

WHEREAS, the proposed cost recovery approach applies to the "processing" of development applications; and

WHEREAS, there are no proposed changes to the City's existing development "impact fees" (e.g., bridge fees, a sewer connection fee, etc.); and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Government Code Section 66014, local agencies may charge fees not to exceed the reasonable cost of providing the service for which the fee is charged; and

WHEREAS, the Community Development Department proposes to charge an individual hourly billing rate for all staff time spent processing all development applications except for those applications which have a fixed fee; and

WHEREAS, the individual hourly billing rates for staff are listed in Section I of this resolution; and

WHEREAS, the fixed fee applications are listed in Section II of this resolution; and

WHEREAS, the development applications for which the individual hourly billing rate shall be charged are listed in Section III of this resolution; and

WHEREAS, the bond for front yard landscaping bonds would be increased from \$500 to \$1,500; and

WHEREAS, public hearings were conducted by the City Council on April 15, 2003 and May 6, 2003 to consider the adoption of development application fees and landscaping bond as presented in the staff report prepared for this report, and to accept public testimony regarding this report; and

WHEREAS, the City has complied with the noticing requirements of Government Code Section 66016; and

WHEREAS, this resolution supersedes the development application fees portion in its entirety of Resolution 96-75 previously adopted by the City Council on June 5, 1996; and

WHEREAS, the City Council finds that the proposed fees do not exceed the reasonable cost of providing the services for which the fee is charged.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, based on the City's independent judgment, the City Council of the City of El Paso de Robles does hereby resolve, determine and order as follows, based upon the facts and analysis presented in the staff reports, and public testimony, that the following fee schedule associated with the processing of development applications including the increase of front yard landscaping bonds from \$500 to \$1,500, are hereby adopted and become effective July 7, 2003.

I. Individual Hourly Billing Rate:

| Community Development Director\$ | 69.24 |
|---|------------------------------------|
| City Planner\$ | 56.93 |
| Associate Planner\$ | 47.68 |
| City Engineer\$ | 58.04 |
| Planning Intern\$ | 15.17 |
| City Attorney\$ | 145.00 |
| II. Fixed Fees: | |
| | |
| Home Occupation: \$ | 25.00 |
| Home Occupation: \$ Plot Plan Review: \$ | 25.00 50.00 |
| Home Occupation: \$ Plot Plan Review: \$ Sign Permit: \$ | |
| Plot Plan Review: \$ | 50.00 |
| Plot Plan Review: \$ Sign Permit: \$ | 50.00 20.00 50.00* |
| Plot Plan Review: \$ Sign Permit: \$ Oak Tree Trimming/Prunning Permit (if necessary) \$ Burn Down/Zoning Verification Letters \$ Front yard landscaping bond (typical residential front yard) \$ | 50.00 20.00 50.00* 100.00 |
| Plot Plan Review: \$ Sign Permit: \$ Oak Tree Trimming/Prunning Permit (if necessary) \$ Burn Down/Zoning Verification Letters \$ | 50.00 20.00 50.00* 100.00 |

- * Section 10.01.030 of the Oak Tree Ordinance allows owners of developed properties (parcels with existing buildings and related improvements) that are not being considered for new construction or other development entitlements, may prune oak trees on their property without the need for a permit and without limitation as to limb size as long as the pruning does not endanger the health of the tree. Owners of undeveloped properties (public or private) are required to get a permit to trim limbs 6-inches or greater.
- ** The first hour spent will be free of charge. All additional time will be billed at the individual hourly rate.

III. Deposit Schedule:

The deposit amounts represent a minimum amount necessary to begin the application process. Based on the City's experience with average processing times, staff will work with applicants to establish a realistic estimate and deposit amount. Additionally, at the applicant's request, staff will work with the applicant to calculate a reasonable estimate of fees for a specific project. The applicant shall be charged the appropriate individual hourly billing rate for each hour spent processing the application. The deposit amount will be drawn down based on the number of staff hours spent processing the application. At the completion of the project, the remaining deposit will be refunded. If 75% of the initial deposit is depleted prior to completion of the project, staff will notify the applicant in writing that an additional deposit is required (based on staff's reasonable estimate of the hours remaining to complete the project). If the applicant does not submit the deposit amount within 15 days from the date of the letter, staff may stop the processing of the application and/or not schedule the project for the Planning Commission meeting. Staff will make reasonable efforts to be flexible with the intent that all accounts in arrears will be paid in full, prior to the application being considered by the Planning Commission. All remaining deposits will be refunded to the applicant within 30 days of the final action taken by the Planning Commission or City Council, or upon request by the applicant to formally withdraw the application. Staff shall provide to the applicant a written monthly accounting of hours expended processing the application, detailing hours spent by individual staff persons and work performed.

| A | less not include I AECO or County free) | ¢ | 2 000 | |
|--|--|------|--------------------|--|
| Annexation: (does not include LAFCO or County fees): | | | | |
| | N | | | |
| Certificate of Compliance: | | | | |
| Conditional Use Permit (Amendment): | | | | |
| | se Permit (Minor): | | | |
| | se Permit (Major): | | | |
| Environmental Review by Consultant: | | | | |
| General or Specific Plan Amendment: | | | | |
| Commission's Interpretation of Zoning Code: | | | | |
| Planned Development Amendment: | | | | |
| Planned Development: | | | | |
| | r map change): | | | |
| Site Plan Review (Major): | | | 600 | |
| Site Plan Revie | ew (Minor): | . \$ | 5 200 | |
| Street Abandon | nment: | . \$ | 600 | |
| Street Name C | hange: | . \$ | 600 | |
| Tentative Parc | el Map: | . \$ | 600 | |
| Tentative Lot I | Line Adjustment: | . \$ | 600 | |
| | t Map: | | | |
| Time Extensio | n: | . \$ | 600 | |
| | | | | |
| | ive Parcel Map: | | | |
| Vesting Tentative Tract Map: | | | | |
| Waiver or Deferral of Public Improvements: | | | | |
| Oak Tree Removal Permit | | | | |
| oun free frem | 0 (M 1 | • 4 | 200 | |
| PASSED ANI following vote | O ADOPTED by the City Council of the City of Paso Robles this 6 th day: | ус | of May 2003 by the | |
| AYES: | Finigan, Heggarty, Nemeth and Mecham | | | |
| NOES: | Picanco | | | |
| ABSTAIN: | None | | | |
| ABSENT: | None | | | |
| | | | | |
| | Frank R. Mecham, Mayor | | | |
| ATTEST: | | | | |
| ATTEST. | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Sharilyn M. Ryan, Deputy City Clerk | | | | |
| Sharifyii M. Kyan, Deputy City Clerk | | | | |